

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

CRIMINAL DIVISION

CP-02-CR-0007905-2019

VS.

CHRISTIAN BEY

ORDER OF COURT ISSUED WITH CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES

AND NOW, to-wit, this 18th day of September, 2019, pursuant to Rules of Criminal Procedure 110 and 111 and to insure that the right of the parties to a fair trial by an impartial jury is not interfered with by extrajudicial statements and pre-trial disclosure of evidence, it is ORDERED:

1. The parties to this case, the Commonwealth and the defendant, SHALL NOT make extrajudicial statements that such person knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in this manner.

2. Any and all present and former attorneys for the parties, and any person employed in the law firm or public office that employ the attorneys, are ORDERED to comply with Rule 3.6 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Professional Conduct, which provides as follows:

(a) A lawyer who is participating or has participated in the investigation or litigation of a matter shall not make an extrajudicial statement that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter.

(b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a lawyer may state:

(1) the claim, offense or defense involved and, except when prohibited by law, the identity of the persons involved;

(2) information contained in a public record;

(3) that an investigation of the matter is in progress;

(4) the scheduling or result of any step in litigation;

(5) a request for assistance in obtaining evidence and information necessary thereto;

2019 SEP 18 AM 11:37

DEPT. OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PA

(6) a warning of danger concerning the behavior of a person involved, when there is reason to believe that there exists the likelihood of substantial harm to an individual or to the public interest; and

(7) in a criminal case, in addition to subparagraphs (1) through (6):

- (i) the identity, residence, occupation and family status of the accused;
- (ii) if the accused has not been apprehended, information necessary to aid in apprehension of that person;
- (iii) the fact, time and place of arrest; and
- (iv) the identity of investigating and arresting officers or agencies and the length of the investigation.

(c) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), a lawyer may make a statement that a reasonable lawyer would believe is required to protect a client from the substantial undue prejudicial effect of recent publicity not initiated by the lawyer or the lawyer's client. A statement made pursuant to this paragraph shall be limited to such information as is necessary to mitigate the recent adverse publicity.

(d) No lawyer associated in a firm or government agency with a lawyer subject to paragraph (a) shall make a statement prohibited by paragraph (a).

3. All law enforcement personnel involved, directly or indirectly, with the investigation of the crimes charged, or preparation for trial in this matter are PROHIBITED from making extrajudicial (out of Court) statements that such person knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing and adjudicative proceeding in the matter. They are further PROHIBITED from disseminating or disclosing any investigative reports, documents, or items of evidence reasonably likely to be introduced as exhibits in any proceeding in this matter, other than documents filed as public record.

4. Any person who has been called as a witness or has been advised by any party that he or she is likely to be called as a witness, or reasonably believes that he or she is likely to be called as a witness, in any proceeding in this matter, is PROHIBITED from making extrajudicial (out of Court) statements that such person knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means or public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter.

5. All Court personnel, and all other employees of the County of Allegheny involved in the collecting, gathering, examining or analyzing of evidence are PROHIBITED from making extrajudicial (out of Court) statements that such person knows or reasonably should know will be disseminated by means of public communication and will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the matter. They are further PROHIBITED from disclosing any information or disseminating any documents related to this matter, other than documents filed as public record.

6. Information disseminated by means of public communication includes the disclosure of information by traditional media outlets and the disclosure of information by social media.

7. Counsel for the Commonwealth shall provide any witness, investigator, law enforcement personnel, or any other person known by such counsel to be involved in or associated with the prosecution of this action with a copy of this Order.

8. Counsel for the defendant shall provide any witness, investigator, or other person known by such counsel to be associated with the defense of this action with a copy of this order.

9. Appropriate sanctions will be imposed upon any person violating this order.

BY THE COURT:

Wm. H. Leonard, Jr.

Consented to by:

Stephen Ramaley

Deputy District Attorney

Edward R. Gray, Jr.

Counsel for the Defendant

Ans N. Bull

Counsel for the Defendant

Carmen J. Rouse

Counsel for the Defendant